

# Contents

<b>Foreword</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Motivation . . . . .	1
1.2 Overview and main contributions . . . . .	2
1.3 Publications . . . . .	4
1.4 Structure of the thesis . . . . .	5
<b>2 Related work on computational trust and reputation models</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	7
2.2 Classification dimensions . . . . .	8
2.2.1 Paradigm type . . . . .	8
2.2.2 Information sources . . . . .	8
2.2.3 Visibility types . . . . .	10
2.2.4 Model's granularity . . . . .	11
2.2.5 Agent behaviour assumptions . . . . .	11
2.2.6 Type of exchanged information . . . . .	12
2.3 Computational trust and reputation models . . . . .	12
2.3.1 S. Marsh . . . . .	12
2.3.2 Online reputation models . . . . .	13
2.3.3 <i>Sporas</i> and <i>Histos</i> . . . . .	13
2.3.4 Schillo et al. . . . .	14
2.3.5 Abdul-Rahman and Hailes . . . . .	15
2.3.6 Esfandiary and Chandrasekharan . . . . .	16
2.3.7 Yu and Singh . . . . .	17
2.3.8 Sen and Sajja . . . . .	18
2.3.9 <i>AFRAS</i> . . . . .	18
2.3.10 Carter et al. . . . .	19
2.3.11 Castelfranchi and Falcone . . . . .	20
2.3.12 Summary . . . . .	21

2.4	Trust and reputation test-beds . . . . .	22
2.4.1	Test-beds based on the Prisoner's Dilemma . . . . .	22
2.4.2	Castelfranchi et al. . . . .	25
2.4.3	SPORAS, ReGreT and AFRAS . . . . .	26
2.5	Conclusions . . . . .	26
<b>3</b>	<b>The <i>SuppWorld</i> framework</b>	<b>29</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	29
3.2	The <i>SuppWorld</i> framework, an overview . . . . .	29
3.3	Markets . . . . .	30
3.4	Conventions . . . . .	32
3.5	Entrance of rough material . . . . .	33
3.6	Production process . . . . .	33
3.7	Entrance of money . . . . .	35
3.8	Negotiation process . . . . .	35
3.9	The agents behaviour . . . . .	36
3.10	Implementation . . . . .	37
<b>4</b>	<b>The ReGreT system</b>	<b>39</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	39
4.2	Social Network Analysis and agent societies . . . . .	40
4.3	The ReGreT system, a general view . . . . .	42
4.4	Direct trust . . . . .	44
4.5	The reputation model . . . . .	47
4.5.1	Witness reputation . . . . .	48
4.5.2	Neighbourhood reputation . . . . .	57
4.5.3	System reputation . . . . .	58
4.5.4	Combining reputation types . . . . .	59
4.6	Putting all together: the trust model . . . . .	60
4.7	Ontological dimension . . . . .	61
<b>5</b>	<b>Infrastructure: the agent model</b>	<b>63</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	63
5.2	Multi-context agents . . . . .	65
5.2.1	The basic model . . . . .	65
5.2.2	The extended model . . . . .	66
5.3	Modular agents . . . . .	68
5.3.1	Introducing modules . . . . .	68
5.3.2	Messages between modules . . . . .	70
5.4	Specifying a simple agent . . . . .	72
5.4.1	A high-level description . . . . .	72
5.4.2	Specifications of the modules . . . . .	73
5.4.3	Specifications of the units . . . . .	77
5.4.4	The agent in action . . . . .	78
5.5	Specifying more complex agents . . . . .	79
5.5.1	A high-level description . . . . .	79

5.5.2	Specifications of the modules . . . . .	80
5.5.3	Specifications of the units . . . . .	85
5.5.4	The agents in action . . . . .	87
5.6	Related Work . . . . .	88
<b>6</b>	<b>Engineering ReGreT using multi-context systems</b>	<b>91</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	91
6.2	A high level description . . . . .	91
6.3	Communication . . . . .	94
6.4	Impressions generator . . . . .	94
6.5	Direct trust calculation . . . . .	95
6.6	Social relations knowledge . . . . .	96
6.7	Credibility model . . . . .	96
6.8	Witness reputation . . . . .	98
6.9	Neighbourhood reputation . . . . .	99
6.10	System reputation . . . . .	99
6.11	Reputation and Trust . . . . .	100
6.12	Operational Semantic . . . . .	100
6.13	Bridge rules . . . . .	102
<b>7</b>	<b>Experiments</b>	<b>105</b>
7.1	Introduction . . . . .	105
7.2	The common framework . . . . .	105
7.3	Scenario I: Direct trust and witness reputation . . . . .	108
7.4	Scenario II: Social credibility . . . . .	112
7.5	Conclusions . . . . .	114
<b>8</b>	<b>Conclusions and Future Work</b>	<b>117</b>
8.1	Conclusions . . . . .	117
8.1.1	Trust and reputation . . . . .	117
8.1.2	Multi-context systems . . . . .	118
8.2	Future work . . . . .	118
8.2.1	Trust and reputation . . . . .	119
8.2.2	Multi-context systems . . . . .	121
<b>A</b>	<b>Unit theories of the ReGreT module</b>	<b>123</b>
A.1	ODB - Unit . . . . .	123
A.2	DT - Unit . . . . .	123
A.3	ICR - Unit . . . . .	124
A.4	SCR - Unit . . . . .	124
A.5	CR - Unit . . . . .	125
A.6	Witnesses - Unit . . . . .	126
A.7	WRep - Unit . . . . .	126
A.8	NiRep - Unit . . . . .	126
A.9	NRep - Unit . . . . .	129
A.10	SRep - Unit . . . . .	129

A.11 Rep - Unit . . . . .	129
A.12 Trust - Unit . . . . .	130
<b>B Experiments' specification</b>	<b>131</b>
B.1 Configuration file description . . . . .	131
B.2 Configuration files of the experiments . . . . .	135
B.2.1 Scenario I . . . . .	135
B.2.2 Scenario II . . . . .	140
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>142</b>