

Contents

Foreword	xv
Abstract	xvi
Acknowledgments	xix
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Musical Expressivity and Tempo	2
1.2 Problem Definition, Scope and Research Objectives	5
1.2.1 High-level Content-Based Tempo Transformation	5
1.2.2 Scope	6
1.2.3 Representation of Expressivity	6
1.2.4 Melodic Retrieval Mechanisms	6
1.2.5 Performance Model Evaluation	6
1.3 Outline of the Dissertation	7
2 Preliminaries and Related Work	9
2.1 Expressivity in Musical Performances	9
2.2 Functions of Expressivity	10
2.2.1 Expressivity and Musical Structure	10
2.2.2 Expressivity and Emotional Content	11
2.3 Definitions of Expressivity	12
2.4 Computational Models of Expressivity	13
2.4.1 Common Prerequisites	14
2.4.2 Analysis by Measurement	15
2.4.3 Analysis by Synthesis	16
2.4.4 Automatic Rule Induction	16
2.4.5 Case Based Reasoning	18
2.4.6 Evaluation of Expressive Models	19
2.5 Melodic Similarity	21
2.6 Case Based Reasoning	23
2.6.1 The CBR Cycle	24
2.6.2 CBR Characterization	27
2.7 Conclusions	28

3	System Architecture/Data Modeling	31
3.1	A Global View of the System	31
3.1.1	Problem Analysis	32
3.1.2	Problem Solving	34
3.2	Musical Corpus	35
3.3	Melody Representation	36
3.4	Musical Models	37
3.4.1	GTTM	37
3.4.2	The Implication-Realization Model	37
3.5	Melody Segmentation	39
3.5.1	Melisma	40
3.5.2	Local Boundary Detection Model	41
3.5.3	I-R based Segmentation	41
3.5.4	n-Grams Segmentation	41
3.5.5	Binary Segmentation	42
3.5.6	Characterization Segmentation Methods	42
3.6	Performance Representation	43
3.6.1	Describing Expressivity through Performance Events	44
4	Knowledge Acquisition	51
4.1	The Implication-Realization Parser	52
4.1.1	Scope and Limitations	53
4.1.2	Implementation	53
4.1.3	I-R Analysis Representation	57
4.2	The Edit-Distance	58
4.2.1	Context-Sensitive Cost Functions	60
4.3	Automatic Performance Annotation	61
4.3.1	Proposed Edit Cost Model	62
4.4	Connection of the Musical Data	66
5	Problem Solving	69
5.1	Cases and Proto Cases	69
5.1.1	Proto Cases	70
5.1.2	Cases	71
5.2	Proto Case Retrieval	72
5.2.1	Tempo Filtering	73
5.2.2	Melodic Phrase Comparison	73
5.2.3	Case Creation from Proto Cases	76
5.3	Constructive Adaptation	77
5.4	Case Adaptation at Phrase Segment Level	79
5.4.1	Melody Segment Retrieval/Alignment	80
5.4.2	Linking of Performance Events	80
5.4.3	Adaptation Rules for Establishing Analogies	82
5.4.4	Transfer of Expressive Values	83

6	Experimentation	89
6.1	Optimizing Performance Annotation	89
6.1.1	Experiment Setup	90
6.1.2	Fitness Calculation	90
6.1.3	Results	91
6.1.4	Conclusions	92
6.2	Melodic Similarity	93
6.2.1	Looking for a Good Abstraction Level	93
6.2.2	Ground Truth Prediction	100
6.3	Large Scale Evaluation of Tempo Transformations	106
6.3.1	Evaluation Setup	107
6.3.2	Obtaining Ground Truth	108
6.3.3	Modeling the Ground Truth	110
6.3.4	Comparison of TempoExpress and UTS	112
6.3.5	Conclusions	115
7	Conclusions	117
7.1	Summary	117
7.2	Contributions of this Dissertation	118
7.2.1	An I-R Parser for Melody	118
7.2.2	An I-R based Distance Measure for Melody	118
7.2.3	Comparison of Melodic Distance Measures	118
7.2.4	A Scheme for Performance Annotation	119
7.2.5	A Technique for Automated Performance Annotation	119
7.2.6	An Architecture for Case Based Tempo-Transformation	120
7.2.7	Transfer of Expressivity across Performances	120
7.2.8	An Evaluation Method for Expressive Models	121
7.3	Future Directions	121
7.3.1	Finer Grained Performance-Annotations	121
7.3.2	Case Base Quality Evaluation	122
7.3.3	User-Interaction	122
7.3.4	Hybrid Problem Solving	122
	Bibliography	124
A	Abbreviations and Notational Conventions	137
A.1	Abbreviations	137
A.2	Names of I-R structures	137
A.3	Notation	138
B	I-R Annotated Phrases in Case Base	139
C	Songs Used in Melodic Distance Comparison	145
D	List of Publications/Awards by the Author	147