

# Contents

<b>Foreword</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Reusing past experience . . . . .	1
1.2 A Web of musical data . . . . .	2
1.3 Listening to music in a group . . . . .	3
1.4 A social radio experience . . . . .	4
1.5 Structure of the thesis . . . . .	4
<b>2 Musical associations from a Web of experiences</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1 The experience Web . . . . .	7
2.1.1 A repository of personal experiences . . . . .	8
2.1.2 Collecting music-related experiences . . . . .	8
2.1.3 The value of playlists . . . . .	9
2.1.4 From playlists to musical associations . . . . .	9
2.2 Previous work . . . . .	10
2.2.1 Co-occurrence analysis . . . . .	10
2.2.2 Playlists . . . . .	11
2.2.3 Other Web-based musical experience . . . . .	11
2.2.4 Other ways to assess musical associations . . . . .	12
2.3 Co-occurrence analysis of social data . . . . .	14
2.3.1 Conditional probability . . . . .	14
2.3.2 Distant co-occurrences . . . . .	15
2.3.3 Inverse co-occurrences . . . . .	16
2.3.4 Co-occurrences of songs with artists . . . . .	16
2.3.5 Co-occurrences among artists . . . . .	17
2.3.6 The musical association degree . . . . .	17
2.4 Working on a real data set . . . . .	18
2.4.1 Initial data set . . . . .	19
2.4.2 Noise filtering process . . . . .	20
2.5 Tuning parameters . . . . .	20
2.5.1 Tuning the distance parameters . . . . .	21

2.5.2	Tuning the order parameter . . . . .	21
2.6	The resulting associations . . . . .	21
2.6.1	Evaluating song associations . . . . .	22
2.6.2	Evaluating artist association . . . . .	23
2.6.3	Comparisons with other music similarity measures . . . . .	23
2.7	Summary . . . . .	27
<b>3</b>	<b>Individual listening behaviours</b>	<b>29</b>
3.1	Music libraries and listening habits . . . . .	29
3.2	Previous work . . . . .	30
3.2.1	Explicit user modelling . . . . .	30
3.2.2	Implicit user modelling . . . . .	31
3.2.3	Building user profiles . . . . .	31
3.3	Gathering listening habits . . . . .	32
3.4	Estimating individual preferences . . . . .	34
3.4.1	Usage behaviour normalisation . . . . .	34
3.4.2	Normalising user rating . . . . .	35
3.4.3	Combining user ratings and play counts . . . . .	37
3.4.4	Integrating additional properties . . . . .	38
3.4.5	Extending to artists . . . . .	39
3.5	Summary . . . . .	39
<b>4</b>	<b>The poolcasting technique</b>	<b>41</b>
4.1	Adapting music for a group of listeners . . . . .	41
4.1.1	Problem Definition . . . . .	41
4.1.2	The poolcasting approach . . . . .	42
4.2	Previous work . . . . .	43
4.2.1	Case-Based Reasoning . . . . .	43
4.2.2	User-adaptive techniques . . . . .	44
4.2.3	Recommender techniques . . . . .	46
4.2.4	Group-adaptive systems . . . . .	48
4.2.5	Preference aggregation . . . . .	49
4.3	The Case-Based Reasoning selection process . . . . .	50
4.3.1	The case bases . . . . .	51
4.3.2	The retrieve process . . . . .	53
4.3.3	The reuse process . . . . .	53
4.3.4	The revise process . . . . .	54
4.4	The iterated social choice problem . . . . .	55
4.4.1	Group preference degree . . . . .	55
4.4.2	Individual satisfaction degree . . . . .	56
4.4.3	Satisfaction-weighted aggregation without misery . . . . .	58
4.5	Summary . . . . .	59

<b>5 Group-customised Web radio</b>	<b>61</b>
5.1 Adapting online radio channels to their audience . . . . .	61
5.2 Previous work . . . . .	62
5.2.1 Group-adaptive systems in music . . . . .	62
5.2.2 Internet radios . . . . .	64
5.3 The Web interface . . . . .	65
5.3.1 Sharing personal music libraries . . . . .	65
5.3.2 Creating radio channels . . . . .	70
5.3.3 Expressing musical preferences . . . . .	72
5.3.4 Additional features . . . . .	72
5.4 Automated music programming . . . . .	75
5.5 Implementation . . . . .	77
5.6 Summary . . . . .	78
<b>6 Experiments and evaluation</b>	<b>79</b>
6.1 Working with a real Web radio . . . . .	79
6.1.1 The active audience . . . . .	79
6.1.2 The music pool . . . . .	79
6.1.3 Listening habits . . . . .	80
6.1.4 Observations . . . . .	80
6.2 Variety and smoothness . . . . .	81
6.2.1 Variety . . . . .	81
6.2.2 Smoothness . . . . .	81
6.2.3 Observations . . . . .	82
6.3 Group customisation and fairness . . . . .	83
6.3.1 Random music profiles . . . . .	83
6.3.2 Results . . . . .	84
6.4 Discordant listeners . . . . .	85
6.4.1 Results . . . . .	86
6.4.2 The importance of memory . . . . .	87
6.5 Concordant listeners . . . . .	87
6.5.1 Results . . . . .	88
6.6 Scalability . . . . .	89
6.6.1 Results . . . . .	89
6.7 Other parameters . . . . .	91
6.7.1 Retrieval size . . . . .	91
6.7.2 Misery . . . . .	92
6.7.3 Initial satisfaction . . . . .	93
6.8 Summary . . . . .	94
<b>7 Conclusions</b>	<b>95</b>
7.1 Summary . . . . .	95
7.1.1 The goals of poolcasting . . . . .	95
7.1.2 The CBR process . . . . .	96
7.1.3 The Web radio application . . . . .	97
7.1.4 Evaluation . . . . .	97

7.1.5	Possible applications . . . . .	98
7.2	Contributions . . . . .	99
7.2.1	Experiential data from the Web . . . . .	99
7.2.2	Reinterpretation of CBR . . . . .	100
7.2.3	Iterated social choice . . . . .	101
7.2.4	A social radio experience . . . . .	101
7.3	Future work . . . . .	102
7.3.1	Generalising poolcasting . . . . .	103
7.3.2	Improving poolcasting . . . . .	105
7.4	Related publications . . . . .	107
<b>A</b>	<b>Top-associated movies</b>	<b>123</b>